Superior Court of Washington, Co	ounty of
In re:	
Petitioner/s (as listed on the parenting/custody order):	No
And Respondent/s (as listed on the parenting/custody order):	Final Order and Findings on Objection about Moving with Children and Petition about Changing a Parenting/Custody Order (Relocation) (ORDYMT or ORGRRE)
	[] Clerk's action required: 1, 13
Final Order and Findings or	n Objection about Moving

Final Order and Findings on Objection about Moving with Children and Petition about Changing a Parenting/Custody Order (Relocation)

1.	Money Judgment Summary
----	-------------------------------

[]	No	money	jud	gment	is	ordered	
---	---	----	-------	-----	-------	----	---------	--

[] Summarize any money judgment from section 12 in the table below.

Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
Guardian ad litem (GAL) fees			\$	\$
Lawyer fees			\$	\$
Court costs			\$	\$
Other fees and expenses (specify):			\$	\$
Yearly Interest Rate:% (1	1	ited)		
Lawyer (name):	rep	resents (name):		
Lawyer (name):	rep	resents (name):		

2.	This Order is based on the (check all that apply):
	[] agreement of everyone with a legal right to spend time with the children in this case. [] Order on Motion for Default signed on (date):
	[] court's decision about the Objection after a contested trial on (date):
	The following people were at the trial (check all that apply):
	[] Petitioner (name): [] This person's lawyer
	[] Respondent (name): [] This person's lawyer
	[] Guardian ad Litem (name):
	[] Other (name and relationship to this case):
	[] Other (name and relationship to this case):
Fin	dings & Conclusions
3.	Jurisdiction over the children (RCW 26.27.201 – .221, .231, .261, .271)
	[] The court cannot decide this case for the children because the court does not have jurisdiction over the children.
	[] The court can decide this case for the children because (check all that apply; if a box applies to all of the children, you may write "the children" instead of listing names):
	 Exclusive, continuing jurisdiction – A Washington court has already made a parenting plan, residential schedule or custody order for the children, and the court still has authority to make other orders for (children's names):
	[] Home state jurisdiction – Washington is the children's home state because (check all that apply):
	[] (Children's names): lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent for at least the 6 months just before this case was filed, or if the children were less than 6 months old when the case was filed, they had lived in Washington with a parent or someone acting as a parent since birth.
	[] There were times the children were not in Washington in the 6 months just before this case was filed (or since birth if they were less than 6 months old), but those were temporary absences.
	[] (Children's names): do not live in Washington right now, but Washington was the children's home state at sometime in the 6 months just before this case was filed, and a parent or someone acting as a parent of the children still lives in Washington.
	[] (Children's names): do not have another home state.
	[] No home state or home state declined – No court of any other state (or tribe) has the jurisdiction to make decisions for <i>(children's names)</i> :, or a court in the children's home state (or tribe) decided it is better to have this case in Washington and:
	 The children and a parent or someone acting as a parent has/have ties to Washington beyond just living here; and

(<i>chila</i> have	r state declined – The courts in other states (or tribes) that might be liren's names):
[] Tem jurisd	porary emergency jurisdiction – Washington had temporary emergency liction over (<i>children's names</i>): when the was filed, and now has jurisdiction to make a final custody decision because:
•	When the case was filed, the children were abandoned in this state, or the children were in this state and the children (or children's parent, brother or sister) was abused or threatened with abuse;
•	The court signed a temporary order on <i>(date)</i> saying that Washington's jurisdiction will become final if no case is filed in the children's home state (or tribe) by the time the children have been in Washington for 6 months;
-	The children have now lived in Washington for 6 months; and
•	No case concerning the children has been started in the children's home state (or tribe).
[] Other	reason (specify):
<u></u>	
01.11.1	5
Children's	Residence
	ren spend substantially equal residential time with each parent (45 percent or
more).	
•	ren live most of the time with relocating person (name):
[] The child [] The child The Notice	Iren live most of the time with relocating person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with relocating person (name): Iren live most of the time with relocating person (name):
[] The child [] The child The Notice does not	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.)
[] The child [] The child The Notice does not	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act
[] The child [] The child The Notice does not	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.)
[] The child The Notice does not [] Other fine	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.)
[] The child The Notice does not [] Other find	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.) dings: // against move with children
[] The child The Notice does not [] Other find Factors for [] Does not	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection appropriate for the children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.) Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection person (name): Iren live most of the time with the objection and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.)
[] The child The Notice does not [] Other fine Factors for [] Does not [] The child [] spoot	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.) dings: // against move with children
[] The child The Notice does not [] Other fine Factors for [] Does not [] The child	Iren live most of the time with the objecting person (name): ce of Intent to Move with Children was improper and the Child Relocation Act apply. (Skip to 8.) dings: If against move with children apply. There was no objection to the children moving. (Skip to 6.) Iren (check one): cend substantially equal time with each parent (45 percent or more). Based in the factors listed below, the Court concludes that the planned move (check

• There is a lot of information (substantial evidence) about the children's care,

Factors: a. Relationships: The children's relationships with each parent, any siblings, and other important people in the children's life. (Findings about the strength, nature, quality, extent of involvement, and stability of the children's relationships and how those would be affected by the planned move): __ **b.** Agreements: Findings: There [] were [] were not agreements between the relocating and objecting persons about moving with the children. c. Contact: Findings: Disrupting the children's contact with the relocating person [] would [] would not be more harmful to them than disrupting their contact with the objecting person. **d.** Limitations: Findings: The current parenting/custody order [] includes [] does not include limitations under RCW 26.09.191 on a parent. e. Reasons for moving: Findings: The reasons for moving [] were [] were not given in good faith.

f.	Reasons for objecting: Findings: The reasons for objecting to the move
	[] were [] were not given in good faith.

Children: Findings: Allowing or preventing the move would affect the children's physical, educational, and emotional development, considering their age, developmental stage, and needs (including any special needs) as follows:
If move is allowed:
If move is not allowed:
Quality of life: The quality of life, resources, and opportunities available to the children and the relocating person in the current location and in the new location.
Findings:
Other arrangements: Other arrangements available to foster and continue the objecting person's relationship and contact with the children.
Findings:
Alternatives: Alternatives to the planned move, and whether it is possible or desirable for the objecting person to move too.
Findings:
Financial: The financial impact and logistics of moving or not moving (for example
Financial: The financial impact and logistics of moving or not moving (for example the timing, cost, and how the move would happen). Findings:

6.	Changes to parenting/custody order
	[] No Change – The parenting/custody order should not change because:
	[] no one asked to change the parenting/custody order.
	[] the court is not allowing the children to move, and the person who asked to move with the children has decided not to move without the children.
	[] the requested changes are not in the children's best interest.
	[] Change – There are valid reasons to change the parenting/custody order because:
	[] the court is allowing the children to move and the changes are in the children's best interest considering the move. The new <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> does not change the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	[] the court is not allowing the children to move and the person who asked to move with the children is moving without the children. The new <i>Parenting Plan</i> or <i>Residential Schedule</i> changes the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	[] Other findings (specify):
7.	Child Support
	[] Does not apply.
	[] Changing the <i>Child Support Order</i> is necessary because of the move and/or the change in the person with whom the children live most of the time.
	[] Other findings:
8.	Protection Order
	[] No one requested an Order for Protection in this case.
	[] Approved – The request for an <i>Order for Protection</i> is approved. The <i>Order for Protection</i> is filed separately.
	[] Denied – The request for an <i>Order for Protection</i> is denied. The <i>Denial Order</i> is filed separately.
	[] Renewed/Changed – The existing Order for Protection filed in or combined with this case is renewed or changed as described in the following order, filed separately (check one):
	[] Order on Renewal of Order for Protection
	[] Order Modifying/Terminating Order for Protection
	[] Other findings:
9.	Restraining Order
	[] No one requested a Restraining Order in this case.

	LJ	Approved – The request for a <i>Restraining Order</i> is approved. The <i>Restraining Order</i> is filed separately.
	[]	Denied – The request for a <i>Restraining Order</i> is denied.
	[]	Other findings:
10.	Fe	es and Costs
	r 1	Each person should pay his/her own fees and costs.
		(Name): incurred fees and costs, and needs help to
	. ,	pay those fees and costs. (Name): has the ability to help pay fees and costs and should be ordered to pay the amount as listed in the Money Judgment in section 13 below. The court finds that the amount ordered is reasonable.
	[]	Fees for a guardian ad litem (GAL) or other court-appointed professional should be paid as listed in the Money Judgment in section 13 below. The court has considered relevant factors including each person's ability to pay, and finds the fees as ordered are reasonable.
	[]	Other findings:
Соц	 urt (Orders
		Orders ecision
	De	
	De	cision
	De	cision ove with children
	De	ve with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name):
	De	ve with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name):
	De	cision ove with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. renting/custody order
	De Mo	cision ve with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. renting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. [] Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed
	De Mo	cision In the weight children I we with children I we with children I
	De Mo	cision ve with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name): [] Allowed – The children may move with (name): as requested. renting/custody order [] No Change – The current parenting/custody order remains in effect. [] Change – The court signed the new Parenting Plan or Residential Schedule filed separately today or on (date): ild Support
	De Mc	ve with children [] Not Allowed – The children must not move with (name):

	[] The court signed the pro			-	scribed in s	ections 8
12 M	and 9 above today or on oney Judgment (summarize	. ,		·		
	No money judgment is order		e 1)			
Г.	. ,, ,		r foos a	nd costs as follow	16.	
L.		T	r fees and costs as follows:			
	Judgment for	(person wind pay mone)	ho must	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
	[] Guardian ad litem (GAL) fees				\$	\$
	[] Lawyer fees				\$	\$
	[] Court costs				\$	\$
	[] Other fees and expenses (specify):				\$	\$
 Ordere	ed.	•				
ate		Judge or C	Commis	sioner		
etitio	ner and Respondent or th	eir lawye	ers fill o	out below:		
] is an] is pre] may b	cument (check any that apply) agreement of the parties esented by me be signed by the court without not r signs here or lawyer signs here + W	tice to me	[] is an [] is pro	ocument (check and agreement of the presented by me be signed by the co	parties	,
			Nespond	dent signs here or law	yer signs here	
Print Nan	ne	Date			yer signs here	e + WSBA #
] is an] is pre	ne cument (check any that apply) agreement of the parties esented by me be signed by the court without no		Print National Print		ny that appl	Date y):
his doo] is an] is pre] may b	cument (check any that apply) agreement of the parties esented by me	:	Print National Print	me ocument (check al agreement of the p esented by me	ny that apploarties	Date Date y):